



AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE VILLAGE BUILDING AUDITORIUM, AT 3910 OLD BUCKINGHAM ROAD IN POWHATAN COUNTY, VIRGINIA, AND REMOTELY BY ELECTRONIC MEANS ON February 28, 2022, AT 6:30 PM.

Board of Supervisors Present: David T. Williams, District 1,
Steve W. McClung, District 2,
Mike W. Byerly, District 3, Chair
Bill L. Cox, District 4,
Karin M. Carmack, District 5, Vice-Chair

Board of Supervisors Absent: None

County Staff Present: Ned Smither, County Administrator
Bret Schardein, Assistant County Administrator
Tom Lacheney, County Attorney
Frank Hopkins, Planning Director

Constitutional Officers Present: Brad Nunnally, Sherriff

Guests Present:

1. Call to Order

Chairman Byerly called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

a. Identify Public Entity members physically and/or electronically present.

County Administration staff accepted emailed comments at administration@powhatanva.gov. Any comments received until 6:30 PM, February 28, 2022, were entered into the meeting minutes.

b. Identify opportunities for the public to access the electronic meeting.

The public was able to participate in the meeting by <https://zoom.us/j/398095011> by computer. Phone access was possible by dialing US: 1-929-205-6099 or 1-312-626-6799. As always, the public could view the live feed from the County [website](#).

c. Identify opportunities for the public to participate in the meeting live with the Zoom meeting link.

The public was able to view and participate in the meeting live with the Zoom meeting link. During the public comment period, members of the public could raise their hands using the Zoom controls on their screens, or press *9 on their phone.



2. Pledge of Allegiance

Mr. Cox led the pledge of allegiance.

3. Invocation

Mr. Williams led the invocation.

4. Requests to Postpone Agenda Items and Additions, Deletions, or Changes in the Order of Presentation

Chairman Byerly asked to add a closed session at the agenda of the agenda to number 14, moving all subject after up one number.

Mr. Williams asked for clarity about the subject of the closed session. Mr. Lacheney stated that the closed session is due to two legal issues: a single-cut lawsuit and the AFD issue.

5. Formal Approval of Agenda

Mr. Cox moved that the agenda be approved, as amended. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

6. County Administrator Comments (Certificates of Appreciation, Special Resolutions, Proclamations, and Presentations)

Mr. Smither referred to a public comment from the previous week about the status of the GIS system as it relates to the 2021 Comprehensive Plan. The online real estate tax records were also mentioned. He stated that he was able to discuss improvements with the concerned citizen and that a new Keystone system will be implemented. This will include upgraded photos and sketches, and an updated overlay from the Comprehensive Plan. These changes should be available late this week.

Benefit Programs Appreciation Month Proclamation:

Mr. Smither also welcomed Ms. Kathy James and her team from Social Services to receive a proclamation for Benefit Programs Appreciation Month. He thanked many the department for their hard work during difficult and their action at the forefront of public efforts.



The Powhatan County Board of Supervisors commended all benefit program specialists in the county for a job well done and recognized the month of February 2022 as Benefit Program Specialist Appreciation Month.

Ms. Kathy James thanked the Board of Supervisors and introduced the rest of her team to the Board.

7. Public Comment (time limit 3 minutes per individual/ 5minutes per group, 30 minutes total time limit that can be extended by the Board)

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

Neil Stout, Vice-Chair of Powhatan County Board of Social Services, expressed that the item agenda regarding switching the Social Services Board from an administrative board to an advisory board. He asked the BOS to set aside time for an open discussion with the current members of the Board of Social Services to see whether everyone can have a voice and make a united decision. He also stated his frequent availability to attend a meeting between the Boards.

Stephen Barham, 3492 Richard's Run, stated his concern with the fact that many citizens are making the effort to attend meetings, but are never getting a response. He stated that he expects a response within three days. He then mentioned the temporary permit application that he put in on October 6, 2021. It took two months to get a response which denied his request because of a wine festival that uses the property on the same weekend. He explained his disapproval with this decision, as the first person to apply should be granted permission and no one else has applied yet. He asked the Board to not only reconsider their decision, but also their choices as the leaders of Powhatan County.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

8. Consent Agenda

- A. Minutes April 26, 2021, Regular Meeting
- B. Minutes June 9, 2021, Special Meeting
- C. Minutes January 10, 2022, Special Meeting

Vice Chair Carmack made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**



D. Appointments to Boards, Commissions, Committees, etc.

A. Social Services Board Citizen Appointments

Mr. Smither stated that there are two vacancies for the Social Services Board of Supervisors and there are four applications from interested parties for consideration. These applicants are Lynn LaPierre (District 1), Michael Smith (District 1), Connie Thompson (District 4), and Sherry Worsham (District 2).

Mr. Cox stated his appreciation for all the applicants interested in the position. He expressed his desire to appoint Mr. Michael Smith who is the most experienced and Ms. Connie Thompson who has made a major commitment to the county. For these reasons, he nominated these two candidates. Mr. Lacheney stated that those nominations would need to be separated.

Mr. Cox made a motion to nominate Mr. Michael Smith back to the Board of Social Services. Mr. Williams seconded the motion.

Mr. Williams commented that Mr. Smith has been a leader and shown leadership in a bad situation. He stated his support for the candidate.

Ms. Carmack stated some concerns with Mr. Smith's ability to lead the Board of Social Services. She attributed these concerns to lapse in attendance, lack of engagement prior to the last few months, and lack of a formal vote to be on the Board of Social Services. Mr. Cox expressed his confusion with Ms. Carmack's statement about there not having been a formal vote to induct Mr. Smith. He questioned Ms. Carmack's effort to change that if she knew it was an issue.

Ms. Carmack responded that although Mr. Smith's 2018 application was present, there is no record of him being appointed to the Board of Social Services. She then went back through video records and was still unable to find the point at which Mr. Smith was appointed to the Board.

Chairman Byerly found it alarming that someone who serves of the Social Services Board has no record of being appointed by the Board of Supervisors". He asked if Mr. Smith missed three consecutive meetings at any time or four meetings during any given 12-month period of time. Ms. Carmack responded that, to her knowledge, Mr. Smith had not missed three consecutive meetings or four meetings in a twelve-month period.

Chairman Byerly, Steve W. McClung, and Karin M. Carmack voted NO. Bill L. Cox and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 3-2
MOTION FAILED**



Mr. Cox made a motion to nominate Ms. Connie Thompson to the Board of Social Services. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

Ms. Carmack made a motion to nominate Ms. Lynn Lapierre to the Board of Social Services. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

A discussion began regarding the possible appointment of Ms. LaPierre. Mr. Williams stated that he does not consider some of Ms. Carmack's concerns with Mr. Smith as a reason for not appointing him to the Board of Social Services. He stated his reasoning for voting against Ms. Lapierre wasn't against her personally but was really a vote about the way it was being handled.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, and Steve W. McClung voted AYE. David T. Williams voted NO.

**VOTE 4-1
MOTION PASSED**

E. Old Business

A. Parks and Rec Committee (Requested by Chairman Byerly)

Mr. Smither gave an overview, stating that the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee recently discussed some bylaw changes that involve formalizing staggered terms for advisory board appointments. He introduced Ms. Carter, who would be giving some background on their thoughts prior to Board consideration.

Ms. Carter stated that on February 22nd, 2022, the Board was provided with a proposal to review the bylaws and change the reappointment dates of officers to a staggered format.

Mr. Cox asked if the only change to the bylaws is the membership election. Ms. Carter confirmed that this is the only change to the bylaws.

Chairman Byerly made a motion to approve Resolution R-2022-06. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**



B. New Business

Social Services Management Discussion (Requested by Vice-Chair Carmack)

Mr. Smither listed some of the advantages and disadvantages of changing the Board of Social Services from an administrative board to an advisory board. These points included the fact that as an administrative board, the Board of Social Services is expected to uphold many of the same responsibilities as the Board of Supervisors. He continued to explain that the task at hand is to make a decision that will benefit the productivity of the Board of Social Services and stated that if the BoS wishes to explore this, there will need to be a public hearing.

Mr. Cox asked that the Board discuss the possibility of holding a workshop as proposed by Mr. Neil Stout. Chairman Byerly stated that he is not in favor of a workshop but would be in favor of a public hearing.

Mr. Williams expressed his confusion with what exactly the problem is that needs to be fixed and if the Board of Supervisors has the criteria to change such.

Ms. Carmack stated that the main issue is attendance among Board of Social Services members along with a lack of communication. She stated that the Board of Social Services is not currently fulfilling the duties of an administrative role. Mr. Williams responded that maybe the Board was only acting within the scope of information that they were being given by their director. He stated his support for a workshop instead of a public hearing.

Mr. Cox stated that denying a workshop with the Board of Social Services would exclude them from telling their account of things that have occurred in the past. Mr. Carmack stated that a workshop format meeting had already occurred with Mr. Smither and Mr. Lacheney present that did not prove to be very productive. This makes her reluctant to hold a meeting in a workshop format. Mr. Williams disagreed with Ms. Carmack's reasoning. Chairman Byerly agreed with Mr. Williams.

Chairman Byerly made a motion to add the topic to the public hearing on March 28th, 2022, regular BoS meeting. Ms. Carmack seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly and Karin M. Carmack voted AYE. Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted NO.

**VOTE 3-2
MOTION PASSED**



a. Senior Services and Transportation (Requested by Supervisor Williams)

Mr. Williams introduced the chair of the Senior Connections and County Administrator of Charles City, Michelle Johnson. He stated that Ms. Johnson was there to overview the services that are being made available today.

Ms. Johnson introduced her team and then gave a presentation about the Senior Connections Organization. She stated that the organization's mission is to empower seniors to live with dignity and choice. She went over programs & services, 2021 highlights, and future goals. The goals include the creation of an informal advisory committee for the county, an increase in clients, a partnership with the Department of Social Services, an assessment of citizen needs, transportation improvement, the Friendship Café, and local funding.

Mr. Cox was impressed with the Organization's ask of \$5,000.00 in funding after not having received anything from the previous budget, but Ms. Carter assured him that this is the average budget for their projects in other counties as the organization tries to minimize their outside funding.

Mr. Cox asked if it is normal for each county to be involved in only a select few of the many services that the organizations offer instead of all six major areas. Ms. Johnson confirmed that most counties only participate in a few of the services that are offered depending on their needs.

Mr. McClung asked for clarification on the No Wrong Door program. Ms. Johnson's associate explained that it is a system in their computer system that can get ahold of certain client information so that new clients are not forced to repeat their stories over and over again.

Mr. Williams stated that the Board was under the impression that the Senior Connections Organization was not doing transportation. He also asked if there would be any charge for this transportation. Ms. Johnson explained that transportation is a division that they just started, and they are in the final stages of negotiating the contract. Her associate then explained that there will be a small charge for everyday activities, but not for medical situations.

B. Public Hearing

A. Ordinance O-2022-02 (Case #21-10-CUP):

Chadham & Sarah Taylor (District #3: Jefferson/Fine Creek Mills) request a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow a rural event venue within the Agricultural-10 (A-10) zoning district per Sec. 83-162 of the Zoning Ordinance of the County of Powhatan.

Mr. Hopkins explained that this is a conditional use permit for 2987 Huguenot Trail. He then gave a brief overview of the property and explained that it would be used as a



wedding venue that has a secondary structure to house parties and will be equipped with porta-potties. There are twelve conditions, the most important being that there be no more than 290 guests and 70 events per year with a curfew of 10:00 p.m. and at least one traffic professional present.

Ms. Taylor pointed out her excitement to start a business in Powhatan County and pointed out that it would be the venue with the largest acreage in the county.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.

[Natalie Fleming, 2980 Huguenot Trail](#), expressed some community concerns for the historic White Wood that will be coming across the street. She stated that people are concerned with the fact that there have been no noise levels monitored around the venue to determine how loud it will be, the lack of a police officer, the lack of a venue liquor license, and the lack of health inspections. She asked to know what the venue would be giving back to the county.

[Matt Caldwell, 2910 Huguenot Trail](#), stated that the only real change looking to be made is an easement to widen the road, but they will also be the venue with the largest separation from a neighboring residence. For that reason, he does not believe that sound will be an issue. He expressed his support for the applicants.

[Stephen Barham, 3492 Richard's Run](#), highly encouraged the BoS to approve this application.

[Jessica Winnel, 2940 Huguenot Trail](#), expressed her support for the applicants.

[Connie Harris, 1470 Huguenot Trail](#), expressed her concern with the traffic level that the venue would produce.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Cox inquired about the noise regulation in the outdoors. He also asked about the commercial entrance and transportation, stating that VDOT's report was not clear. Mr. Hopkins explained that the events would be held in a tent that falls within the limit to be considered a structure which means there would be no outside music, technically speaking. He went on to explain that VDOT is saying that the property does not fall above the limit at which they would require a commercial entrance, but that it could be done.

Mr. Cox then inquired about the conditions that Mr. Hopkins had set in place, including the commercial entrance and the porta-potties.



Ms. Carmack expressed her concern that 290 guests would be too many. She stated that she would like to see that number moved to 250. Mr. McClung asked for the reasoning behind the maximum occupancy limit.

Ms. Taylor explained that the number came from referencing prior applicants and the guest size that they had applied for. She then offered some explanation for the porta-potties and liquor license, stating that the number of them would be discussed consulted upon with concern for the amount of water produced by the well.

Mr. Cox asked Ms. Taylor asked if the venue had a range of guests it was looking to occupy. Ms. Taylor explained that as long as the guest list stays below the approved number, they are happy to host any size event.

Mr. Williams asked if the Health Department stipulates the number of porta-potties that must be available at an event. He then asked the applicant if they would be willing to research and abide by the Health Department's code if the application were approved.

Chairman Byerly asked if, through county approval of a Conditional Use Permit, the applicant is automatically bound by the Commonwealth of Virginia and locality laws. Mr. Hopkins confirmed that the applicants would have to comply with all agency regulations.

Mr. Hopkins explained that the Board would have to go through the steps of rezoning the property. They could not just go back and amend that CUP.

Mr. Cox asked if reducing the maximum occupancy from 290 to 250 would be enough to make Ms. Carmack feel comfortable. Ms. Carmack responded that she would be okay with going as low as 225, but she just wanted to keep everyone safe. Ms. Taylor explained to the Board that their property would serve strictly as a venue which means it would rely on the caterers and clients to uphold Health Department standards. This has a lot to do with the fact that the venue would not be fully commercial. She also explained that having a commercial driveway would make her feel much more comfortable.

Chairman Byerly asked if the applicant intended on hiring an officer from the Sheriff's Department for the events. He also asked for the applicant's specific definition of "rural event" as this is what the Board would be approving. He expressed his worry for events that include the use of firearms.

Ms. Taylor confirmed that the officer would be from the Sheriff's Department. She went on to explain that she had not thought about the possibility of an event that included the use of firearms, but that she would have no problem regulating against having those types of events on the property.

Chairman Byerly made a motion that in accordance with section 83-123-F4 of the Powhatan County zoning ordinance and public necessity convenience, general welfare,



and good zoning practice, the Board of Supervisors APPROVES the request submitted by the applicants to permit a rural event venue on tax map 17-24 and 17-24-A subject to the conditions presented in their report as amended. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve M. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

- B. Ordinance O-2022-03 (Case #21-11-CUP):** George Stermer (District #4: Powhatan Village/Macon) request a conditional use permit (CUP) to allow an accessory dwelling unit within the Single-family Residential-2 (R-2) zoning district per Sec. 83- 210 of the Zoning Ordinance of the County of Powhatan.

Mr. Hopkins stated that the conditional use permit is for an accessory dwelling unit at 1627 Indian Pipe Court that is zoned R2 and 4.4 acres. The dwelling is expected to be much smaller than the existing structure and is intended as a home for the applicant's elderly father.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.
Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Cox made a motion that the Board of Supervisors approve ordinance 0-2022-03.
Mr. Williams seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

- C. Ordinance O-2022-04 (Case #33-01-REZ):** Powhatan County requests the rezoning of the Tax Map Parcels listed at the bottom of this notice from General-Commercial (C) to Commerce Center (CC) and amendment of the zoning district map. General Commercial being designated a Transition zoning district is no longer in line with the goals of the Comprehensive Plan and has few allowable commercial.

Mr. Hopkins stated that the rezoning is of 106 parcels from general Commercial to Commerce Center because in 2018, several uses were taken out of general commercial which left the county with many nonconforming uses. Every parcel that is in it growth area and has a structure on it will be rezoned. This rezoning is in line with the Comprehensive Plan and growth as Gateway Businesses.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.



Mr. Harris, 2303 Three Bridge Road, expressed his concerns, stating that one of the sites that the Board would be rezoning does not have a road entrance. He thought it would be more fitting to rezone the two pieces there and add the neighboring parcel to it that will give it road access.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Cox asked about the piece of property that the Planning Commission chose to remove. He stated that he agrees with the Planning Commission's decision to remove that piece of property.

Ms. Carmack asked why the Planning Commission chose to remove that property. She was also curious as to what would happen if the parcel was approved. Mr. Hopkins stated that the Planning Commission removed the parcel because it is part of a separate rezoning that is trailing this one. He then explained that the owners would most likely need to come back and ask for the neighboring parcel in order to have an access point.

Mr. Williams expressed his confusion with the neighboring A10 parcel. Mr. Hopkins explained the situation with the neighboring parcel and assured Mr. Williams that the A10 parcel is not up for discussion at the moment.

Mr. Cox explained to Ms. Carmack that if the parcel is not removed, the owners will have to come back again to rezone it once again to include the A10 property in order to get access. Ms. Carmack stated that if the parcel is rezoned tonight, the owners would have to come back to include the neighboring parcel which would provide the opportunity to get proffers. Mr. Cox asked if, in both situations, the building is considered non-conforming.

Mr. Lacheney stated that although he cannot rule on the use of a building, he believes that the building is an abandoned, non-conforming structure that could not be reused. He reminded the Board that this ordinance revolves around 106 parcels. Mr. Hopkins stated that as Zoning Administrator he agrees it is a pre-existing non-conforming structure but believes it may be re-used.

Mr. Cox made a motion, to approve the rezoning as amended to remove the parcel that was discussed but then withdrew this motion.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve case 33-01REZ as proposed. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE. Mr. Cox voted NAY.

**VOTE 4-1
MOTION PASSED**



- D. Ordinance O-2022-05 (Case #22-01-AZ):** The County of Powhatan requests the amendment of the provisions set forth in section of Chapter 83 (Zoning Ordinance) Article VII (Use Standards) to remove language constraining gas stations to a maximum of two vehicular access points.

Mr. Hopkins stated that the ordinance is amending the standards for gas station entrances because there is currently a requirement of two vehicular access points which has proven to be quite restrictive. This provision would remove that requirement and leave it up to county and VDOT standards to control entrances based on spacing.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.
Seeing no one wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve Case 22-01-AZ as proposed. Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

- E. Resolution R-2022-05:** An ordinance authorizing the County Administrator to execute a deed of easement on behalf of the Powhatan County Board of Supervisors, to Luck Corporation on a portion of Tax Map Parcel 42-7G.

Mr. Schardein stated that the Board was approached about wanting to create a private access drive between their Powhatan Plant Quarry and Colony Construction in order to take loaded trucks off of the public roads. This access drive would touch on **Dew Point Plant** so the Board would need to grant them an easement.

Chairman Byerly opened the public comment period.
Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

Mr. Williams made a motion to approve Resolution R-2022-05. Mr. Cox seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

- F. Public Comment** (time limit 3 minutes per individual/ 5minutes per group, 30 minutes total time limit that can be extended by the Board)



Mr. Harris, 2303 Three Bridge Road, requested clarification on the decision that was made in Ordinance O-2022-04.

Stephen Barham, 3492 Richard's Run, expressed concern with a lack of public information being presented to the citizens as well as the charges made to see this information.

Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chairman Byerly closed the public comment period.

G. Closed Session

Ms. Carmack made a motion to approve closed. Mr. Lacheney read the following: WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Powhatan County desires to discuss in Closed Meeting the following matter(s):

Consultation with legal counsel pertaining to actual or probable litigation, where such consultation in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body concerning the single cut litigation.

Consultation with legal counsel employed or retained by a public body regarding specific legal matters requiring the provision of legal advice by such counsel concerning AFDs and interaction with the Board of Supervisors.

WHEREAS, pursuant to: §2.2-3711 (A)(7) (A)(8) of the Code of Virginia, such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Powhatan County does hereby authorize discussion of the aforestated matters in Closed Meeting.

Mr. McClung seconded the motion.

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

Following closed session, Mr. Lacheney read the following: to the best of your knowledge, were the only matters discussed in the closed meeting public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements, and that only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting

Michael W. Byerly, Karin M. Carmack, Bill L. Cox, Steve W. McClung, and David T. Williams voted AYE.

**VOTE 5-0
MOTION PASSED**

H. County Attorney Comments

POWHATAN COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING
FEBRUARY 28, 2022



There were no county attorney comments.

I. County Administrator Comments

There were no county administrator comments.

J. Board Comments

Mr. Cox expressed his concern with the little information the Board of Supervisors has been given about the financial condition of the county and the lack of budget workshops that have been held.

Chairman Byerly read a quote “Leadership is not a title or a position, it is a selfless action.”

K. Adjournment

Chairman Byerly adjourned the meeting at 10:12pm.

ATTEST:

Ned Smither, County Administrator
Powhatan County Board of Supervisors

Michael W. Byerly Chairman
Powhatan County Board of Supervisors

Recorded Vote:

<i>David T. Williams</i>	AYE
<i>Steve W. McClung</i>	AYE
<i>Michael W. Byerly</i>	AYE
<i>Bill L. Cox</i>	AYE
<i>Karin M. Carmack</i>	AYE